

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/502,065	01/18/2005	W Wayne Lautt	14430.4USWO	2089	
23552 MERCHANT	7590 03/24/200 & GOULD PC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 290	3		GUDIBANDE, SATYANARAYAN R		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654	1654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/502,065	LAUTT ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE	1654				

	SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE	1654							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).	TINOT NEI ET WAOTI							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee aware been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee are also also also also also also also also									
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
AMENDMENTS	·	, ,							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause						
<ul><li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li></ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	E below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo									
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a of NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ripilant / trionamont (i	I GE GE+).						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s).									
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a Nic	tion of Annual will not	he entered						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
See Continuation Sheet.  12. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:									
	/Anish Gunta/								

/Anish Gupta/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argue that the reference of Vitekdoes not teach a combination of both hepatic glutathone compound and a hepatic nitric oxide increasing compound and the cited reference of Vitek teaches the elected species SIN-1 and N-acetylcystein in a Markush group.

Applicant's arguments have been considered and are not persuasive, because, the instant claims are drawn to a pharmaceutical composition" composition" composition" composition" composition" composition" composition" composition" composition" composition consistence. The cited may be consistence and composition composition consistence composition compos

Rejection under 35 USC 103: Applicants further argue that there is no motivation to combine the refereces of Vitek and Luatt et al. Applicants arguments have been considered and are not persuasive. In response to applicants' argument there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPO2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Victor teaches the composition comprising the lected species SIN-1 and N-acetylcysteine and the secondary references of Mattia and Luatt teaches that the elected species N-acetyl cysteine increases gultathione and GSIPTGSSG ration in non-insulin and Luatt teaches SIN-1 simulates nitric oxide in liver.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satyanarayana R. Gudibande whose telephone number is 571-272-8148. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4.30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is a saigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 827-9197 (foll-free).